

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

Date	Comment Source	Comment or question	Action for January 2024 revision	Page	Staff response
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	"What can one person do" pages 3 and 4 - like this chart! It is unique and not sure I have seen something as comprehensive in other CAPs. I like the emphasis on community building and getting to know the local environment and not just on GHG reductions. Under "USE ENERGY, WATER, AND WASTE INCENTIVES", mention heat pumps or removing natural gas appliances. I suggest directing to a more comprehensive list of rebates, such as the Switch is On.	Edit "What can one person do" USE ENERGY, WATER, AND WASTE INCENTIVES to read "You may be eligible for rebates for energy-efficient and water-efficient home upgrades like heat pumps or removing natural gas from your home." Change Link to https://switchison.org/	ES -4	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	"What can one person do" pages 3 and 4 REDUCE FOOD WASTE: StopWaste has a specific website dedicated to food waste called StopFoodWaste.org. Suggest emphasizing the food waste reduction scale by learning how to prevent surplus food from being generated, extend the life of food you have at home through proper storage and compost food scraps. Tips and tools to help reduce food waste at home https://stopfoodwaste.org/ .	In "What Can One Person Do" one-pager, edit REDUCE FOOD WASTE language to "Learn how to prevent food waste and extend the life of food you have at home through proper storage. Compost food scraps." Change link to StopFoodWaste.org	ES - 4	Revised as noted
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	If we're going to ask people to make sacrifices, we need to point out how this is actually impacting us (consider a one-pager of climate impacts that alameda county residents are already feeling)	Added text in parentheses stating: "see Chapter 4 for more details local climate change impacts."	1-2	Chapter 4 discusses local climate impacts more in-depth. Added text in parentheses stating: "see Chapter 4 for more details local climate change impacts."
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Pg 2-6 California Climate Efforts - no mention of SB 1383	Added discussion of SB 1383 to Chapter 2	2-6	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Figure 3.1, page 3-4. Since on-road transportation is such a large portion of the pie, consider breaking it out in more detail (light duty vs. heavy duty for example)	Updated Figure 3.1 to separate on-road transportation into passenger and commercial vehicles	3-4	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Table 3.4 page 3-7 Advanced Clean Cars II Regulations - This change doesn't seem to be reflected in the emissions trends; what is the assumed turnover rate? And is a gradual linear voluntary adoption of EV's assume leading up to 2035? Why does it flatline between 2040 and 2045? Are the effects of turnover to EV's balanced by assumed increased VMT or population?	There was an error in the application of the Advanced Clean Cars II regulation on future countywide emissions. This has now been fixed and all charts, figures, and tables in the CCAP have been updated.	3-7	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Figure 5.2 page 5-3 - Suggest for clarity that a faint trendline for the leg-adjusted BAU be shown, so people can see what the 175K reduction is compared to	Revise graph to show a faint trendline for the leg-adjusted BAU, so people can see what the 175K reduction is compared to	5-3	Revised as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

11/14/2023	Greenbelt Alliance - email	Action LU-1.1.2 a. States that the county will “Continue to eliminate gaps in the existing network and improve bicycle and pedestrian connections to transit, schools, parks/trails, retail and employment centers, community/senior centers, and libraries” b. In what specific ways will the county work to achieve this? Are there policy or budget tools you can leverage and how can you measure success?	Edit Action LU-1.1.2 to specify implementing the recommendations of the 2019 Bike Ped MP: Continue to eliminate gaps in the existing network and improve bicycle and pedestrian connections to transit, schools, parks/trails, retail and employment centers, community/senior centers, and libraries as identified in the 2019 Alameda County Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan for Unincorporated Areas.	5-5	Implementing the specific recommendations in Bike Ped Master Plan (CCAP Action LU-1.1.1) is key to eliminating gaps in the existing network. Success will be measured against the goals of the BPMP. Engagement from the Public Works Agency is key to implementing this action. Planning will invite PWA to continue to participate in the interagency Climate Action Team in the CCAP implementation phase described on CCAP page 1-8.CCAP Table 6.3 identifies "Implementation Funding and Financial Mechanisms and Potential Opportunities" that they County may pursue to help fund these activities.
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - Committee member	We’ve had trail master plans at multiple levels. Do we know what gaps we’ve closed since last plans were made? That would be good information to have in this document. How do we address gaps between counties (e.g. delta de anza trail). County could help in partnering with neighboring counties on long distance trails, also ag partnerships	Add Action LU-1.1.5: Partner with neighboring counties, special districts, and other relevant partners to close gaps in long-distance trail networks.	5-6	Revised as noted
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - Committee member	Wouldn’t it be more cost-time efficient to cut out goals of passenger vehicles and focus on making low-emissions buses and public transit more available?	Edit Action LU-2.1.4 to include exploring innovative public transit options: "Explore and support innovative public transit options, such as deploying low-emissions buses in neighborhoods with disproportionately poor air quality, or developing a “first and last mile” plan to connect riders to public transit."	5-7	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	Can we have an unincorporated free shuttle to get people to and from BART?	Edit Action LU-2.1.4 to include exploring innovative public transit options: "Explore and support innovative public transit options, such as deploying low-emissions buses in neighborhoods with disproportionately poor air quality, or developing a “first and last mile” plan to connect riders to public transit."	5-7	Revised as noted
11/14/2023	Greenbelt Alliance - email	Action LU-3.1.2 a. Lyft does not have any stations south of Oakland High Street, so stations would need to be built in unincorporated areas for this measure to work. b. In the case that Lyft does not want to expand beyond Northern Alameda County, we ask that you provide a high-level action on alternatives.	Edit Action LU-3.1.2 to read: "Promote establishment of affordable bikeshare programs in the urban unincorporated areas of Alameda County (e.g., expanding the geography of Bay Wheels’ Bike Share for All program, which offers affordable membership options for low-income residents.)	5-7	Revised as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	MEASURE LU-4.1 pg 5-8 - This is great! Including commercial density where amenities or job opportunities are lacking. A great tie with economic development. Suggest adding a commercial-related Action under this measure. Perhaps it can accompany increased residential density focus areas to ensure there's sufficient markets for retail or service ops.	Add Action LU-4.1.4: Focus commercial and residential development in the County's Specific Plan areas to encourage efficient land use and minimize daily trips.	5-8	Revised as noted
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - Committee member	We need to create jobs where housing is, state could require balance between jobs and housing	Add Action LU-4.1.5: Promote jobs-housing balance in the urban unincorporated area through zoning and general plan policy.	5-8	Revised as noted
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - Committee member	Have to compare where the jobs are against where the housing is, if everyone is driving to Sunnyvale for work, impact is high. Why aren't some of those jobs better distributed	Add Action LU-4.1.5: Promote jobs-housing balance in the urban unincorporated area through zoning and general plan policy.	5-8	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Action LU-4.1.1 pg 5-8 - Could include other forms of multi-unit small infill (e.g. SB 9 and 10 types) for "missingle middle housing"	Edit Action LU-4.1.1 to read: Facilitate construction of missing middle housing, including Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) and duplexes by connecting unincorporated area residents to the Alameda County ADU Resource Center. Develop an ADU incentive program that will offer grants and loans for the construction of ADUs and adopt an ordinance to allow up to four housing units in single-family zones.	5-8	Revised as noted
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Permits for heat pumps are prohibitively expensive - it is within the County's authority to lower permit fees	Add Action BE-3.2.4: Explore options to lower costs associated with residential energy efficiency improvements, such as lowering permit fees.	5-14	Revised as noted
11/7/2023	Fairview MAC - Public Comment	Disappointed that that there were not more measures addressing extreme heat given that it is the County's highest climate impact vulnerability. Renters are especially susceptible to extreme heat; recommended an ordinance requiring rental properties to have the ability to keep indoor temperatures below 90 degrees F.	Add Action BE-4.1.5: Decrease vulnerability of renters to extreme heat by assisting rental housing owners with implementing measures to improve interior cooling in rental units.	5-15	Revised as noted
11/7/2023	Fairview MAC - Councilmember comments	Lack of EV charging infrastructure is a barrier to EV adoption. Suggested free EV charging stations to encourage transition to EV.	Add Action IN-2.1.10: Seek funding to support improved access to EV charging stations.	5-21	Revised as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/4/2023	T&P - Supervisor	BAAQMD provides incentives for upgrading tractors and other farm equipment to low-emission vehicles. BAAQMD requirements are hard for landowners and farmers to meet - maybe we can address this issue locally to help farmers make the conversion	Edit Action IN-3.2.1 to read: Encourage the use of electric-powered agricultural equipment where feasible and promote the California Air Resources Board's Carl Moyer Program, which provides grants to replace diesel-powered agricultural equipment. Encourage the use of renewable diesel in diesel-powered agricultural equipment where electrification is infeasible.	5-22	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Action WR-1.2.1 pg 5-27 - Could also include deconstruction (which has higher upstream emissions reduction potential) or switch focus to that from additional diversion % increase. 75% is hard to reach in practice! There just aren't end markets for some demo waste.	Edit to read Action WR-1.2.1: Adopt a comprehensive construction and demolition ordinance to reach a 75 percent diversion rate, which could include deconstruction	5-26	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	WR-2 pg 5-27 - Measures to reduce organic waste at the source are also important, such as parallel actions in WR-1.2 above. This could be the reduction of edible food waste, education on food storage, donation, etc. Agree, and could also be a nice place to tie in the CBEI described earlier. Even if you're not taking "credit" for reducing those upstream emissions, food waste prevention is a key strategy to address them.	Add Action WR-2.1.4: Seek partnerships with nonprofits and local community-based organizations to establish new food recovery programs, but also to maintain existing ones, such as the Alameda County Food Recovery Project led by the Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Activities League. Add Action WR-2.1.5: Develop and launch multilingual outreach campaigns intended to educate the community and food generating businesses on reducing food waste, properly storing food, and composting.	5-27	Revised as noted
11/16/2023	Community - CV Library Workshop	Include measure for libraries to check out "Kill A Watt" or similar plug load meter for check out by community members	Edit Action WR-1.2.5 to read: "Explore establishment of a tool lending library in unincorporated Alameda County to reduce unnecessary waste associated with purchasing home improvement tools and equipment, increase access to electric tools, hand-powered tools, and home energy assessment tools like plug load meters, and decrease cost-related barriers to home improvements."	5-27	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Action WR-2.1.1 pg 5-27 - SB 1383 requires large food generating businesses to donate surplus edible food. Since that is not related to composting, consider reframing measure WR 2.1 to Education the community and food generating businesses about reducing wasted food by preventing surplus edible food generation, using proactice to store food correctly and donating surplus edible food before composting what's left. Schools are also now required to establish food donation programs, consider adding practice to promote food share tables and donation programs in K-12 schools.	Edit MEASURE WR 2.1 to read "Educate the community and food generating businesses about reducing wasted food by preventing surplus edible food generation, storing food correctly, and donating surplus edible food before composting what's left."	5-27	Revised as noted The County does not have jurisdiction over school programming.

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Trees provide benefits, but they're also a fire hazard, especially in Sunol. This is a potential conflict between the goals of these documents	Edited narrative to read: There are numerous benefits of trees, open space, agriculture, and vegetation when implemented and managed sustainably (e.g., tree canopy should not be expanded in high wildfire risk areas), especially in the context of a community	5-29	Revised as noted
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - Committee member	Do we know how much \$ the County will be/is spending to sequester carbon – can we quantify this and find a way to pay large landholders for sequestration? Would like to hear about payments for ecosystem services in this document. Farmers can sequester a lot of carbon and it's easy to measure	Add Action AG-1.2.8: Explore creation of ecosystem services payments for agricultural land management practices that promote carbon storage in soils and aboveground woody biomass.	5-30	Revised as noted
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - Committee member	Carbon sequestration – threats to open space, did we evaluate managed lands versus non-managed lands – ***Recommend adding policy about undertaking a study to evaluate threats to carbon sequestration and carbon storage on working lands (ranging from incorporation/development to agricultural practices, erosion, etc)	Add Action AG-1.2.9: Undertake a study to evaluate threats to carbon sequestration and carbon storage on agricultural and working lands (e.g., incorporation, development, agricultural practices, erosion, etc.).	5-30	Revised as noted
10/30/2023	Community - SLZ Library Workshop	87 trees were just cut down at Ruby Meadow - Other empty lots were available and would have been better. The County needs to require permits for tree removal on private property	Add Action AG-2.1.6: Explore strategies to increase tree protections on private property that consider both the benefits of a healthy urban tree canopy and concerns about preserving private property rights. Strategies may include private property tree protection ordinances, Heritage, Protected, or Native tree designations, or tree stewardship incentives.	5-31	Revised as noted
11/14/2023	Eden MAC - Councilmember	Request that County to take positive action to preserve existing trees	Add Action AG-2.1.6: Explore strategies to increase tree protections on private property that consider both the benefits of a healthy urban tree canopy and concerns about preserving private property rights. Strategies may include private property tree protection ordinances, Heritage, Protected, or Native tree designations, or tree stewardship incentives.	5-31	Revised as noted
11/7/2023	Fairview MAC - Councilmember comments	Are native oak trees are protected on private property?	Add Action AG-2.1.6: Explore strategies to increase tree protections on private property that consider both the benefits of a healthy urban tree canopy and concerns about preserving private property rights. Strategies may include private property tree protection ordinances, Heritage, Protected, or Native tree designations, or tree stewardship incentives.	5-31	Revised as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

11/14/2023	Eden MAC - Public Comment	Policies in the CCAP don't feel measurable or trackable, lacking performance indicators, and would require big budget increases to implement. There are some performance measures around trees but it doesn't add up to much. Should add an action to increase budget for PWA's Tree Program.	Edit Action AG-2.1.4 to read: "Pursue funding to evaluate and expand the Alameda County Tree Program."	5-31	Revised as noted
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	We should increase urban forests in ROW – we should aggressively go after calfire grants, trees take a long time to grow	Edit Action AG-2.1.4 to read: "Pursue funding to evaluate and expand the Alameda County Tree Program."	5-31	Revised as noted
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - Committee member	Re Tree Program measure – is that a recommendation and/or how is that moving forward? Is there a recommendation for the County to implement this? Where are the metrics? Urban heat is a major climate killer and safety concern. We need a metric so we know the County is actively looking to support the work of the CCAP/Safety Element.	Edit MEASURE AG-2.1 to read: Increase and improve urban tree canopy and green space consistent with the goals of the County's Environmental Justice Element.	5-31	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Action HR-1.4.1 pg 5-35 - Promote food as medicine pathways to direct food produced and source to community health centers and clinics. Map local food recovery organizations currently addressing food insecurity and mobilize network of food providers to strengthen coordination and shared resources between these organizations.	Add Action HR-1.4.5: Promote food as medicine pathways to direct locally produced and sourced food to community health centers and clinics. Add Action HR-1.4.6: Map local food recovery organizations currently addressing food insecurity and mobilize a network of food providers to strengthen coordination and shared resources between these organizations.	5-35	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	Safety and evacuation routes – OES has evacuation plans (Genasys Connect/ZoneHaven), need to better advertise existing systems. If we had to evacuate now, we'd have total chaos	Add Action HR-2.1.7: Conduct outreach in multiple languages to ensure that all residents are aware of the County's evacuation and emergency notification systems (e.g. AC Alert, Genasys Connect).	5-36	Revised as noted
11/2/2023	Email - HARD Board member	the Plan should identify sea level rise as a significant risk. I know this is a big regional topic for a locally focused report, but, as I understand it, sea level rise poses significant risk specifically to San Lorenzo from creek flooding and water table displacement. Mitigation might only be better coordination between County and state and regional agencies, but it's a topic worthy of more attention. I did want to steer you to a good resource document paid for by HASPA. It's called the Hayward Shoreline Adaptation Master Plan. Among its many parts, it describes likely outcomes at 2', 4' and 6' sea level rise. Although it focuses on the actual shoreline, maps do show likely impacts to San Lorenzo neighborhoods from ground water displacement at those levels. It ain't pretty. You can find that report on the HASPA website.	Edit Action HR-3.1.7 to read: "Collaborate with neighboring jurisdictions, partner agencies, and organizations throughout the San Francisco Bay Area to encourage and expedite projects and initiatives aimed at addressing sea level rise (e.g., shoreline protection and restoration). "	5-38	Revised as noted Climate adaptation and sea-level-rise partnerships are addressed in Action HR-3.1.7 and Action HR-1.2.2
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Want to see more done to reduce fire insurance rates	Add Action HR-3.2.8: Research actions available to the County to support reduction of fire insurance rates for property owners in fire-prone areas.	5-39	Revised as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

11/15/2023	Sunol CAC - Councilmember	interested in FireWise Community effort to lower insurance rates.	Add Action HR-3.2.8: Research actions available to the County to support reduction of fire insurance rates for property owners in fire-prone areas.	5-39	Revised as noted
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	We need to make sure cooling facilities are working -- look at adding battery backup etc to cooling centers and resilience hubs	Add Action HR-3.3.7: Increase resilience of existing cooling centers by increasing guidance, providing low-tech information sources, and seeking funding for additional resources, such as backup power capabilities.	5-39	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and Construction Trades Workforce Initiative (CTWI)	<p>such as the City of Berkeley:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Language from the Berkeley Existing Buildings Electrification Strategy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Executive Summary, Summary of Phased CC Actions Phase 1- "Develop high road jobs policies and labor standards to support family-sustaining union construction careers for underrepresented communities." ■ Introduction, High Quality Job Growth - "This will also require planning strategies for a just transition, an equitable economic transition to carbon-neutrality that ensures there are opportunities for all, including workers that rely heavily on fossil fuel and the communities most burdened by the climate crisis. This transition must be made in consultation with all crafts affected, including but not limited to Sheet Metal Workers, electricians, carpenters, plumbers and pipefitters. This provides an opportunity for the City to intentionally foster growth of high quality jobs that include family sustaining wages, benefits, safety, and security and worker representation to support a local, diverse, and skilled workforce to be part of a high-road economy. The City can encourage this transition through mechanisms such as workforce agreements, targeted strategies and worker skills requirements and labor standards tied to funding mechanisms, prioritized engagement with and strategies for workers with barriers to employment, and strategies to mitigate job loss. Ensuring high-road jobs for building electrification will require significant effort and support for employment of an inclusive unionized workforce, including smaller (low-rise) residential buildings which tend to be served by lower paid nonunion workers." ■ Achieving Equitable Building Electrification, Workforce - "The City needs to work with organized and unorganized labor to ensure high quality, family-sustaining jobs." o Language from the Berkeley Climate Action Plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Chapter 1, Climate Action & Green Collar Jobs - "Implementing the Berkeley Climate Action Plan will result in increased demand for skilled labor that can do the work we need done, such as energy efficiency retrofits, solar installations, processing of recyclables, growing and processing local food, and designing, building and maintaining infrastructure related to alternative transportation. Importantly, this demand for labor is local, because it requires improving our local environment. It cannot be outsourced. The City must work with neighboring cities and community agencies to connect local residents to emerging job opportunities. In doing so we will protect the environment and provide pathways to sustainable employment at the same time." 	<p>Edit action HR-4.1.1 to read: "Partner with the Alameda County Workforce Development Board, labor organizations, local CBOs, and community colleges to promote and connect local residents to high quality and family-sustaining local job opportunities."</p>	5-40	Revised as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Email from Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and Construction Trades Workforce Initiative	Action HR-4.1.1 - Alameda County should also partner with the Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and CTWI.	Edit action HR-4.1.1 to read: "Partner with the Alameda County Workforce Development Board, labor organizations, local CBOs, and community colleges to promote and connect local residents to high quality and family-sustaining local job opportunities."	5-40	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and Construction Trades Workforce Initiative (CTWI)	Action HR-4.1.4: Alameda County should also work with the Alameda Building Trades Council (BTCA) and CTWI.	Edit Action HR-4.1.4 to read: "Work with regional partners to convene a multistakeholder regional board that brings together residents, small businesses, labor organizations, and workers to identify, launch, and evaluate economic investment pilot programs that align small business goals with people-focused and place-based community priorities through shared decision-making power and aligned objectives.	5-40	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee-Supervisor	Does the CCAP contemplate remote work as a green building practice that can help reduce vehicle miles?	Edit MEASURE HR-4.2: Incentivize and promote green business practices, <u>such as work-from-home policies</u> . Add Action HR-4.2.3: Work with local employers to provide subsidies to employees for using transit or active transportation to commute to work, and encourage flexible work schedules (e.g., 9/80s and 4/10s) as well as telecommuting.	5-40	Revised as noted
11/7/2023	Fairview MAC - Councilmember comments	Is public education about climate change action and impacts part of the CCAP? Wants to see shop local movement promoted as means of reducing GHG emissions from global shipping.	Edit Action CE-1.1.1 to read: Develop a community climate action engagement strategy that facilitates and inspires broad community participation in community- and individual-level climate actions. To encourage frontline community participation, engagement should be offered in commonly-spoken languages and should consider providing incentives for participation time, offering childcare, adjusting meeting times to accommodate work schedules, and/or combining planning meetings with workshops or trainings related to disaster preparedness or other topics of interest to the community.	5-42	Revised as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Implementing the CCAP's policies is a real issue and appropriate messaging is key – we will get more traction from people wanting to get rid of gas stoves from indoor air quality issues. New construction is where we can make change	Edit Action CE-1.1.1 to read: Develop a community climate action engagement strategy that facilitates and inspires broad community participation in community- and individual-level climate actions. To encourage frontline community participation, engagement should be offered in commonly-spoken languages and should consider providing incentives for participation time, offering childcare, adjusting meeting times to accommodate work schedules, and/or combining planning meetings with workshops or trainings related to disaster preparedness or other topics of interest to the community.	5-42	Revised as noted
12/4/2023	T&P - Supervisor	Residential wood burning is a big contributor to poor air quality (particulate matter) Air district limits wood burning, but wood burning is also more common in AB 617 communities that are already economically vulnerable and may need it for heat. How can we consider this issue in the CAP? At minimum, can we have an awareness campaign or requirements for more efficient wood stoves?	Add Action CE-1.2.6: Connect unincorporated area residents to air quality messaging by amplifying Spare the Air Alerts and other air quality communications released by BAAQMD.	5-43	Revised as noted
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Try to use other language to make climate info accessible, instead of climate change impact, say fire hazards, heat hazards, etc, there are examples, we just don't use them.	Edit Action CE-1.2.5 to include "easy to understand": "As a minimum standard, ensure that any outreach efforts that are developed are accessible, easy to understand, and available in multiple languages. "	5-43	Revised as noted
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	page 3-11, section 3.6 CBEI - Fantastic!! Suggest spelling out CBEI first time in the paragraph (even though it's in the title)	page 3-11, section 3.6 - Spelled out CBEI in first mention	3-11	Revised as noted
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Prioritization Matrix charts (ch 6) printed in portrait and are too small to be legible - is there a way to set up printing so that it automatically rotates the pages for printing?	Revise print settings	n/a	Revised as noted
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	How did greenprint get their working lands data? Can now use satellite to estimate soil carbon storage. Can we add agricultural lands as a line item on the working lands inventory?? Would help us measure success of our goals. 1% increase in soil carbon storage would meet our goals	none	n/a	Ag lands is not able to be separated in Greenprint, unfortunately. This could be future effort but outside the scope of the CCAP.
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	Puzzled by first pie chart [Production-based emissions inventory]. Vehicles are creating all the problems but the plan doesn't address this directly. How do we move people more efficiently and effectively to reduce tailpipe emissions?	none	n/a	CCAP aims to make alternatives to driving more attractive and feasible by supporting EV infrastructure, working with transit operators to improve service and safety, improving quality of local green jobs (decreasing need for commuting), encouraging transit-oriented development and services, and maintaining safe and connected bike and ped networks

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	Transportation is the biggest impact, but we also need to do something about housing. We don't have enough affordable housing to support the jobs that are here, requiring people to commute. Affordable housing doesn't need to mean an apartment with no space, how do you get people to buy into a new model of housing, mentality shift	none	n/a	Increasing housing supply is being addressed in the Housing Element update
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	The well-known concept of planning transit-oriented development that would make sense to implement in tri-valley, but community did not want it. Major gaps exist that haven't been closed because of a failure of progressive planning. As long as we continue to ignore principles of planning and efficiency and jobs/transit match, right homes for right citizens, we won't be able to accomplish the GHG reductions that we're aiming for. Lesser-known strategies won't be as effective without this. Should note the failure of progressive planning e in the document	none	n/a	Measures under the LU-4 strategy address sustainable land use planning. Action LU-4.1.3 encourages transit-oriented development.
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	Question about the local emissions gap 2030 and beyond - how will we meet this?	none	n/a	Plan identifies strategies available to us under current conditions. Emissions inventory will be updated every 4 years and CCAP every 8 years to allow County to respond to changes in State and Federal law and to incorporate new technologies.
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	The CCAP gives a common starting point around climate science, and the common risk. #1 driver of GHG emissions is transportation sector. We need both/and actions that address rigorous transportation and mobility GHG reduction, sequestration, and adaptation	none	n/a	received
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	It would be nice to see more solar panels and electric cars, etc.	none	n/a	received
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	There is a program/plant in Tracy to capture carbon from concrete and construction materials	none	n/a	received
11/28/2023	Agricultural Advisory Committee - member	It's easy to forget how much carbon is being stored in the soil, thought about Livermore's presentation [re incorporating areas of unincorporated adjacent to City], and maintaining soil carbon storage vs development of mixed industrial sites, paving, etc	None	n/a	This would be considered in CEQA analysis conducted by a city and would have to be compared to GHG emission reductions from locating jobs closer to where people live

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

10/30/2023	Community - SLZ Library Workshop	I had street trees in front of my house that provided shade, but the County removed them without communicating with residents and I don't know why. This has been a major change for our household - the house is now hotter in summer and colder in winter, and I've had to adjust my household budget to pay for more heating and cooling. My lease specifically says that I'm not allowed to plant any trees, so the right-of-way trees were very important to household comfort. It feels like the County is prioritizing movement of cars over pedestrians and nature.	None	n/a	Draft EJ Element Action EJ7.6D proposes that PWA adopt a Community Engagement Policy to set a standard for how the Agency communicate with the public about projects, programs, or decisions with potential to impact community health and wellness
10/30/2023	Community - SLZ Library Workshop	Communication from the County seems to come from the bottom up. We appreciate the work of line staff, but we keep losing trees, our air and water are threatened, we face displacement and gentrification - this conversation needs to be happening at the highest levels of County government	none	n/a	received
10/30/2023	Community - SLZ Library Workshop	It bothers me that the unincorporated areas are treated like second class citizens. We are a "hard to count" community, highly undocumented, low-income people of color - and because of that we often have no voice in decisions that impact our lives	none	n/a	received
10/30/2023	Community - SLZ Library Workshop	It is important that the State and County work together to prioritize protecting remaining green areas in our community and to make sure we don't lose any more	none	n/a	received
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Want to know how much the County paid for the CCAP and Safety Element updates.	none	n/a	Budget for this project is approximately \$213,000. \$53,495 was funded by ABAG via a grant from the State
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Questions about how GHG Emission Inventory data were gathered and vetted	none	n/a	County used a standard measure consistent with a protocol used by the California Air Resources Board and the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability protocols)
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	It's going to take more accountability and responsibility to improve safety and ridership on public transit. We'd like to see this – we want people to use alternative transport, but if it's not safe and convenient, they won't want to do it.	none	n/a	noted for implementation phase
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Given finite resources, without accountability, other priorities can become more important. What's to keep these documents from being a dust collector	none	n/a	Planning Department is the implementation lead for the CCAP and SE. Implementation strategy includes ongoing coordination with a cross-Agency County team to ensure accountability.

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Who is charged with ensuring that the CCAP/SE are implemented?	none	n/a	Planning Department is the lead, and the implementation strategy includes ongoing coordination with a cross-Agency County team to ensure accountability.
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Frustrated that the CCAP represents an additional governmental layer that can impact affordable housing and other things. Frustrated that technology and state law are changing so rapidly that this plan will be obsolete soon.	none	n/a	Planning Department will track and report on CCAP implementation annually, will update the Vulnerability Assessment and Emissions Inventory every 4-5 years, and update the entire plan every 8 years. Where possible, measures are designed to be non-prescriptive so that they may adapt as laws and technology change.
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Planning and PWA need to communicate better so they're not at cross-purposes	none	n/a	Planning will invite PWA to continue to participate in the interagency Climate Action Team described on CCAP page 1-8. CAT will provide annual reports to BOS committees on CCAP progress
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Are Prioritized Actions in Chapter 6 required to be done first?	none	n/a	Prioritization Matrices are a guide to implementation but are not restrictive
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Agree with policies to protect pedestrians and bike lanes; agree that action is needed to make BART and AC Transit safer.	none	n/a	received
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Agree that trees are important and noted that rural areas could plant thousands of trees if they had access to water, but Zone 7 is very restrictive about drilling wells.	none	n/a	Received
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Will County face fines or legal consequences if we miss our emission reduction targets?	none	n/a	The County is required to try to meet the State GHG reduction targets, but there are no direct penalties of inaction. However, the County is already experiencing the results of climate change, and these will have economic, social, and environmental consequences if the County does not act.
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Councilmember	Want to know what other states and counties are doing to promote climate action so the Alameda County doesn't carry the whole burden	none	n/a	UC Berkeley Law's publication "The Status of Local Climate Action in California" summarizes the results of a statewide survey on local governments' efforts to address climate change
11/13/2023	CV MAC - Public Comment	The highest priority measure identified in the CCAP for both GHG reduction and adaptation/resilience is improving bike/ped infrastructure – this is low-hanging fruit and is very popular with the community. Planning and PWA need to work together to make this happen.	none	n/a	Planning will invite PWA to continue to participate in the interagency Climate Action Team described on CCAP page 1-8. CAT will provide annual reports to BOS committees on CCAP progress

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

11/13/2023	CV MAC - Public Comment	Appreciate the CCAP's emphasis on bicycle and pedestrian measures – the County needs to provide attractive alternatives to cars if we are to reduce auto emissions.	none	n/a	received
11/14/2023	Eden MAC - Councilmember	Where are the public transit measures, since auto emissions are the highest emissions source?	none	n/a	These are in the Land Use and Mobility section starting on page 5-4
11/14/2023	Eden MAC - Councilmember	How will County handle the conflict between urban greening initiatives and mandates to speed up development and met RHNA numbers?	none	n/a	These conflicts are real and the County will continue to seek balance in its implementation of the general plan.
11/14/2023	Eden MAC - Councilmember	Want the County to consider banning artificial turf consistent with a recent bill signed by the Governor.	none	n/a	This is out of scope for the CCAP and Safety Element, but may be considered as part of the next update to the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance
11/14/2023	Eden MAC - Councilmember	Who would facilitate relationship with transit agencies to pursue transit measures?	none	n/a	This would be a Planning Department role in coordination with other County agencies such as ACSO or Social Services
11/14/2023	Eden MAC - Public Comment	Note that creeks are an important carbon sink resource.	none	n/a	received
12/6/2023	Email from Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and Construction Trades Workforce Initiative (CTWI)	<p>We see opportunities to strengthen provisions around the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Creating opportunities for marginalized and disadvantaged communities - including BIPOC, women, formerly incarcerated, veterans, youth etc. o Developing holistic community support infrastructure that includes addressing childcare and transportation, which are barriers to participation and retention of our disadvantaged communities and hampers broader economic development o Ensuring that work done on County facilities should be done in the context of a Community Workforce Agreement (CWA), building decarbonization projects should be covered under this CWA even if they are under the one million dollar threshold o Acknowledging and uplifting existing high road workforce development initiatives that ensures the sustainability of the construction trades industry not just for now but also for the future - these include Union Apprenticeship Programs and pipeline programs like the MC3 Pre-apprenticeship Programs o Ensuring that work is being performed correctly and efficiently by requiring any and all workers hold certifications from state-approved certification programs o Establishing an overseeing body from the County to ensure that environmental and justice standards are being adhered to and actualized via a robust scoring and reporting system o Achieving building decarbonization through a combination of electrification of the buildings and new distributed and utility-scale renewable power to meet the new electric load, incorporating policies to encourage more distributed and utility-scale renewable energy development 	none	n/a	Many of these issues are addressed in the County's Environmental Justice Element. Staff will reach out to the Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and Construction Trades Workforce Initiative (CTWI) for input on the EJ Element

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Email from Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and Construction Trades Workforce Initiative (CTWI)	<p>Action HR-4.1.3: As applicable and appropriate, the following labor standards should be considered as part of the scoring and reporting system of all construction trades related work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Prevailing wages at every contracting tier ■ Healthcare portable, meets Covered CA Silver Level, and available to dependents ■ Portable retirement benefits ■ Participating in a State approved apprenticeship program that trains a skilled workforce ■ Attainment of industry appropriate certifications ■ Regional targeted hire requirements to ensure the participation of disadvantaged workers and/or graduates from approved MC3 pre-apprenticeship programs ■ A history of abidance with building code and labor laws ■ Adopting a Multi-Craft Community Workforce Agreement or Project Labor Agreement negotiated with the Building Trades 	none	n/a	Noted for implementation phase - the scoring will take into consideration input from the Alameda Building & Construction Trades Council (BTCA) and Construction Trades Workforce Initiative (CTWI)
12/6/2023	Email from member of Ag Advisory Committee / CV MAC	<p>This research is not a plan, it looks like a waste of money that could have been better spent on things like getting water to ag land to help the planet. They are trying to put solar on ag land and not food. How many more trees could be planted in our county if we had water?. There are no penalties for not meeting the goals. Are we blind to our real problems? LOOK around. It did nothing to address the real local problems (food, water, shelter and heat) it doesn't surprise me the we continue to listen to a bunch of over educated dummies. Lets use money like this to take of our homeless problem. Do you think the people living along the creeks and under the over passes think this is a good spend. You can share my thoughts with anyone you like</p>	none	n/a	received
12/6/2023	Email - member of public	<p>Alameda County is talking about adopting a "reach code" to eliminate natural gas in new construction for the 2025 building codes which won't take effect until 2026, while San Jose, Berkeley, and Los Angeles County have already adopted this important climate policy. Adopt this sooner</p>	none	n/a	This action aligns with PWA's regular building code updates. Updates will not happen outside this schedule

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Page 3-9, second set of targets: People may wonder how you got to these specific % reduction targets. Maybe it's stated elsewhere, it seems like it's assuming 2019 emissions are 21% below 1990 levels? But still keeping the 85% reduction target? Do you want to include the net zero component for the 2045 target? Or is the 85% inclusive of sequestration?	none	n/a	Correct. Analysis to set the County's targets are proportional to the state for the years in which County data is available (i.e., 2019). As stated on page 3-9: "community GHG reduction targets for the CCAP were developed relative to the County's emissions in 2019 and established in proportion with statewide reduction for all emissions sectors relevant to the County's jurisdiction". The use of the 85% reduction target for 2045 is not inclusive of sequestration; it is only a reduction in emissions. Incorporating sequestration into the target setting process would require the inclusion of sequestration in the inventory and forecasts, which was not part of the scope of the CCAP. Additional information about how targets were derived can be found in Appendix A, Gap Analysis Memo, Attachment A.
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Action BE-4.3.1 pg 5-16 - Great! We are here to help with this when the time comes! An interesting addition could be use of agricultural byproducts to store carbon in buildings; might be a nice connection with your ag section. You could reference the new CALGreen requirement for 100K+ square foot nonresidential. By 2026, that should be 50K square foot nonres. That code requires applicable projects to use these materials OR reduce whole building LCA or substantially reuse an existing building's structure. Happy to provide more info if helpful. Also, we are working with Arup on supporting quantification of embodied carbon CAP measures; it may not be helpful now because of timing, but please reach out if interested in it for later.	none	n/a	New CALGreen has not yet been approved, just draft terms are out so this would be a major update.
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Figure 3.8 page 3-15 - This shows mostly the same info as previous graph (but adding the per household magnitude), so if you're looking for places to shorten, you could consider only including one of these graphics, as much as I really appreciate this deep dive into CBEI!	None	n/a	ok to leave as is
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Figure 3.7 page 3-14 - Nice graphic! I haven't seen others present it this way.	none	n/a	received
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Figure 3.9 page 3-16 - Another fantastic graphic that I haven't seen others do!	none	n/a	received
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	MEASURE BE-1.2 pg 5-12 - Might describe the exemptions that you're expecting that won't make these 100% from 2030 - 2045, and why that % will change over time.	none	n/a	The County has not begun to conduct a feasibility or cost-effectiveness analysis to support a reach code. To be conservative, we are assuming there are some exemptions included such a reach code but these are to be determined upon CCAP implementation.

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	MEASURE IN-2.1 pg 5-20 - This is a good example to contrast my question on target calculations from the first measure. These 3 targets cannot be added together since they would be more than 100%. It appears that the goal is 90% by 2045, which would mean in the first transportation example it is a total of 7.1% reduction by 2045.	none	n/a	There are two different metrics being quantified in the measures mentioned. In LU-1.1, VMT is the activity that is being reduced; and therefore, emissions are reduced. In IN-2.1, the on-road fleet composition is being evaluated. We are looking at the proportion of EVs to gas- and diesel-powered vehicles. Refer to Appendix A, Gap Analysis Memo for additional information about the quantification approaches of these measures.
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Action BE-1.2.2 pg 5-12 - Interesting measure! Are reductions for this reflected in nonres building energy?	none	n/a	This action is not quantified due to lack of available data on industrial building energy in the unincorporated county.
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Table 3.2 page 3-3 - This VMT attribution methodology is different from most incorporated jurisdictions, which may explain why on road emissions are a higher percentage of total compared to others. May be worth highlighting this, in case other jurisdictions look and compare.	none	n/a	This isn't a qualified CAP so the requirements around VMT accounting aren't as stringent. The methods used by the cities was not readily available from MTC so we used the best available data.
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	MEASURE LU-1.1 pg 5-5 - I am a bit confused by how these targets relate to each other. I could see this meaning 3 different things: 1- A 7.1% reduction for each of these time periods for a total of -21.3% by 2045. 2- A 7.1% reduction by 2030, then a 7.1% reduction from that new total by 2040 (meaning they can't be added together as in the above example since the denominator changes with each time period). 3- 7.1% reduction total by 2045 Later reductions are in the 90% arena so also confirming that they are calculated with the same method in all measure.	none	n/a	This measure is targeting existing development (i.e., development that was operational in or before the inventory year of 2019). VMT associated with new development is subject to SB 743 VMT targets so this measure seeks to address the VMT attributable to the existing built environment. VMT from existing development is expected to be the same in future years without intervention (e.g., new transit, bike/ped infrastructure) so we are estimating a 7.1% decrease in annual VMT from existing development in perpetuity. Some of this may come from infill development/densification that allows for shorter vehicle trips by additional jobs/homes/amenities closer to existing development but the way the activity data is forecasted, new and existing VMT are separated. Refer to Appendix A, Gap Analysis Memo and Attachment A for the quantification details.
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	MEASURE LU-4.1 pg 5-8 - Similar question to previous on LU-1 on how the 15% adds up over these time periods. Might be helpful to express each target as a reduction below 2019.	none	n/a	This measure is targeting new development, which is subject to SB 743 VMT reduction requirements. The 15% is not additive; it will continue to be the requirement for new development to align with. Refer to Appendix A, Gap Analysis Memo and Attachment A for quantification details.

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	Page 3-17 - Baseline Carbon Stock Inventory - I think it would be helpful to include reference to the potential loss of these carbon stocks if natural areas were developed or trees cut down. And on the flip side, that investing in more carbon storing soil techniques, like carbon farming via compost application, or planting additional trees can increase the stock and sequester carbon to help reach ZNC.	none	n/a	This section already discusses qualitatively the benefits of conservation and the benefits afforded by Measure D. We don't have quantitative results to show on a per acre basis the net change from development.
12/6/2023	Email from StopWaste	BE-2 pg 5-13 - Are the reductions from BE-2 and BE-3 and their measures included in the tons for BE-1? If so, may be good to explain here so people don't wonder why there's no reductions quantified. Or state why renewables and efficiency don't lead to quantifiable reduction if the grid is already clean, but are key to ensuring that the grid can remain clean by reducing total capacity needs put on it.	none	n/a	Yes, reductions are not shown for BE-2 and BE-3 to avoid potential double counting. This is explained in Appendix A, Gap Analysis Memo. Technical details like this are included in the technical appendices to keep the CCAP easier to understand for the layperson.
11/7/2023	Fairview MAC - Public Comment	Address cars and auto emissions more directly, e.g., with measures related to expanding public transportation. Walking and biking won't be enough to address auto emissions.	none	n/a	CCAP aims to make alternatives to driving more attractive and feasible by supporting EV infrastructure, working with transit operators to improve service and safety, improving quality of local green jobs (decreasing need for commuting), encouraging transit-oriented development and services, and maintaining safe and connected bike and ped networks
11/7/2023	Fairview MAC - Public Comment	CCAP document reflects community priorities.	none	n/a	received
11/14/2023	Greenbelt Alliance - email	Action LU-2.1.1 a. Will more funding be given out to support this initiative? b. This could be amended to focus on higher-density zoning along high-passenger routes.	none	n/a	It is beyond the scope of the CCAP to identify specific funding and implementation details for each individual measure - these details will be worked out in the implementation phase. CCAP Table 6.3 identifies "Implementation Funding and Financial Mechanisms and Potential Opportunities" that they County may pursue to help fund these activities. LU-4: Sustainable Land Use Planning includes measures related to encouraging transit-oriented development.
11/14/2023	Greenbelt Alliance - email	This document will be pivotal to reaching your own and our State's climate goals. Many of the strategies will also improve the quality of life of your residents and add to the city's [sic] resilience.	none	n/a	received
11/14/2023	Greenbelt Alliance - email	Action IN-6.2.3 a. We appreciate that the installation of greywater and rainwater collection systems is being encouraged. b. Will the county consider revising building codes that would facilitate these projects where obstacles currently exist?	none	n/a	Revisions to building code could be considered as part of implementation of Action IN-4.3.1: Adopt a drought-ready ordinance to require greywater readiness in new residential construction and major remodels. Action IN-4.3.4 addresses streamlining permitting process.

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

11/14/2023	Greenbelt Alliance - email	Measure AG-1.2 a. There is no specific timeframe for these actions. Will a timeframe be added?	none	n/a	The implementation timeframe for the CCAP is 8 years. Implementation timelines for specific measures will be determined in the CCAP implementation phase.
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	If you can't cook or heat in cold times because the power is out and you're all electric, this can be a real problem if we don't have natural gas. The grid is not ready and no one is handling this well. E119	none	n/a	Addressed in Action BE-1.1.1: "Develop a comprehensive energy retrofit plan to transition mixed-fuel residential and nonresidential buildings to all-electric, prioritizing the needs of frontline communities" Comprehensive plan focused on frontline communities would address equity and access issues in promoting transition away from gas appliances
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Are the CCAP measures specific to Alameda County, or were they done so they're consistent with the policies of other local cities? (i.e. to make it easier for developers to navigate)	none	n/a	CCAP measures are based on Alameda County's adopted plan, policies, and ordinance and best practices in reducing GHG emissions and adapting climate change. Developers should see consistency among plans in the Bay Area in terms of requirements of new development.
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	It's already so hard to get qualified tradesmen, state is looking at reducing requirements for contractors to install heat pumps	None	n/a	County will remain consistent with state law
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	How was the attendance at the CCAP/SE open houses/trainings? What languages were offered	none	n/a	Disaster prep training was offered in English and Spanish through a partnership with a local community organizer. Expanded language offerings at least doubled event attendance
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Smart grid appliances came up last time around – these are problematic. Big brother can reach in and shut off your AC on hot days.	none	n/a	PG&E is moving toward Smart Grid tech as "a vital step toward creating a greener California": https://www.pge.com/en/about/pge-systems/electric-systems.html
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Make sure EJ and CCAP are internally consistent	none	n/a	received
12/4/2023	Planning Commission - Commissioner	Cost and logistics of implementing the CCAP is a real concern – cost to County, cost to public infrastructure, overall feasibility.	none	n/a	received

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/4/2023	Planning Commissioner - Commissioner	How is the County going to accomplish some of the tasks in these implementation table. How do we make these things affordable? Consultants and staff come up with these ideas that aren't based in reality. E.g. PGE is not very receptive to increasing electrical boxes, that's a barrier. Natural gas is more efficient for heating water and space. You can lay out goal and assign responsibility, is this just a pipe dream or is any of it achievable. People don't have the \$, what does it take to buy a furnace. Great idea, not realistic. Incentives are a pipe dream too, people still don't have enough \$. How is PGE going to upgrade the grid to accommodate the additional electrical load. PGE won't talk to us, we can't even talk to AC Transit. When other agencies have the authority, we don't have a way to implement	none	n/a	Received
12/4/2023	Planning Commissioner - Commissioner	Tree policy needs nuance, i.e. differentiating between tree species (e.g. dangers of invasive eucalyptus vs. benefit of native oaks). County should look at requiring larger trees for with development	None	n/a	The details of the tree actions in Measure AG-2.1 will be discussed and determined when during CCAP implementation
12/4/2023	Planning Commissioner - Commissioner	Eliminating natural is very difficult – how do we go around to existing development and eliminate natural gas?	none	n/a	Through a combination of state laws, state and regional initiatives, individual incentives and rebates, communications campaigns, equity considerations, etc
12/4/2023	Planning Commissioner - Commissioner	Some cities put liens on property requiring building efficiency changes at point of sale	none	n/a	Wherever possible, the CCAP strives to promote climate action by removing barriers and providing incentives. This action would be unlikely to receive support for adoption.
11/15/2023	Sunol CAC - Councilmember	Wastewater policies in CCAP don't go as far as the Council would like. County doesn't have good requirements or guidance around greywater systems, need to improve guidance to make it easy.	none	n/a	Action IN-4.3.4 addresses providing guidance for greywater systems
12/4/2023	T&P - Public speaker	How will the CCAP impact natural gas cooking stoves? People are very attached to their gas stoves	none	n/a	The state is phasing out gas appliances (e.g. electric furnaces and water heaters required to be electric by 2030). Consistent with this direction, the County is looking to move toward electrifying new and existing buildings: MEASURE BE-1.2: Require new buildings, and significant remodels or additions, to be all-electric.
12/4/2023	T&P - Supervisor	Re top measure LU-1.1.2 - measure should identify top five gaps to eliminate. Since this measure involved PWA, PWA should come back and tell the committee which areas they're focusing on to close bike/ped gaps. Would like to see more precise actions throughout document	none	n/a	The gaps are included as part of the 2019 Alameda County Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan for Unincorporated Areas. Table 7.3 includes the prioritized bicycle projects and Table 7.4 includes the prioritized sidewalk projects. CDA cannot pare this down to top 5 without input from PWA. Instead, would support the implementation of high-priority projects identified through this plan.

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	Extreme heat is a problem , and trees are a big factor in keeping areas cooler. Right now, County can prevent trees in county ROW from being cut down, or can ensure that removed trees are replaced. Trees take 25 years to become shade trees. We need to preserve what we have. County should start planting trees in County ROW	none	n/a	Addressed under MEASURE AG-2.1
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	We should approach school districts to get kids and encourage them to bike, give them a love of it. HARD is doing SLZ creekway, this could help as a transportation connector.	none	n/a	CCAP Action LU-1.2.4 highlights Safe Routes to Schools program and building community awareness of active transport
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	The highest priority is bike ped infrastructure and safety. PWA director calls climate science and bike ped stuff “ideology.” It is a popular idea to have bike ped facilities. Need bike lanes on Somerset, major spine of bike plan. CCAP highlights need to prioritize bike ped stuff. Sharrows aren’t enough. Lack of bike safety is disturbing and distressing, including visibility	none	n/a	Noted for implementation phase
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	Can County ban artificial turf consistent with SB 676?	none	n/a	Out of scope for CCAP - Consider in next update to EAGP
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	There is deep resistance in the County from the PWA/Flood control director to bicycle/pedestrian infrastructure and he does not care about sea level rise	none	n/a	received
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	Park spaces and Quimby Act – want to reiterate that school sites are not parks and open space. Don’t use these to calculate deficit. We’re losing school sites. Quimby Act is worse than what’s on documentation	none	n/a	SE and CCAP do not address parks and open space. These are addressed in the EJ Element, and schools are not counted as park space
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Public Speaker	Creek maps need to be re-drawn in the Watercourse Protection Ordinance (USGS maps say they’re not supposed to be used for planning), e.g. Sulfur Creek isn’t on the map. You can’t remove creeks by fiat. Like compromise to revert to old maps	none	n/a	The Watercourse Protection Ordinance is regulated by the Public Works Agency and is not addressed in the CCAP or SE.
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee- Supervisor	Bike Ped master plan -what do we do to try to deal with PWA implementation of bike ped measures?	none	n/a	Climate Action Team will continue to convene -- PWA is invited. The EJ Element also includes measures around agency communication, implementation, and transparency (including PWA) as a high priority for community

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee-Supervisor	BIPOC and low income communities disproportionately impacted and centered along freeway corridor. What can we do specifically in these impacted areas?	none	n/a	Covered in EJ Element Air Pollution Exposure Zone
12/6/2023	Unincorporated Services Committee-Supervisor	Who is responsible for CCAP implementation? Will we receive report-outs on the highest-priority items?	none	n/a	Planning department, plus interagency Climate Action Team, with annual tracking. **Add annual reports to USC to CCAP measures

Revisions and edits suggested by County Staff

1/18/2024	County staff - GSA	In the below sentence, could you please change “the 2022 Alameda County General Services Agency’s Climate Action Plan for Government Operations” to “the Alameda County Climate Action Plan for Government Services and Operations Through 2026”? This change is needed because 1) the plan is a Countywide plan, 2) The title was incomplete (missing services), and 3) the plan was adopted in 2023 – but I think it’s more clear here to include the full title instead of the adoption date.	changed “the 2022 Alameda County General Services Agency’s Climate Action Plan for Government Operations” to “the Alameda County Climate Action Plan for Government Services and Operations Through 2026”	ES-1	Revise as noted
11/29/2023	County Staff - CDA	"What can one person do" pages 3 and 4 - adjust formatting so this chart is on a single page. Consider adding another graphic after figure ES2 to fill in gap, ie. A map of unincorporated Alameda County? Vulnerability score Table 4.10?, Figure 3.1 emissions pie chart?	CCAP "What can one person do" pages 3 and 4 - adjust formatting so this chart is on a single page.	ES-4	Corrected
1/17/2024	County staff - GSA	Current: GOCAP Alameda County Climate Action Plan for Government Services and Operations, a project of the Alameda County General Services Agency Office of Sustainability Requested (because this is a Countywide plan, not a project of any specific dept): GOCAP Alameda County Climate Action Plan for Government Services and Operations Through 2026, adopted in May 2023	Definition - revised definition of GOCAP: Alameda County Climate Action Plan for Government Services and Operations Through 2026, adopted in May 2023	1-1	Revised as noted
11/29/2023	County Staff - CDA	Figure 1.2 Priority Community map is blurry - replace	CCAP - Figure 1.2 Priority Community map is blurry - replace	1-5	Corrected
11/29/2023	County Staff - CDA	Figure 1.3 Project timeline - update with correct dates	CCAP Figure 1.3 Project timeline - update with correct dates	1-6	Corrected
1/17/2024	County staff - GSA	At the end of the nice GOCAP summary, please change “, but also” before (“to protect”) to “and also” or “and” or “as well as” [no comma as the second phrase is not an independent clause]. “But” suggests a contradiction.	at the end of the nice GOCAP summary, please change “, but also” before (“to protect”) to “and also” or “and” or “as well as” [no comma as the second phrase is not an independent clause]. “But” suggests a contradiction.	2-8	Revise as noted

Comment Received on Draft **Community Climate Action Plan (CCAP)** dated October 2023
with Staff Responses and Edits Reflected in January 2024 Revised Draft CCAP

1/17/2024	County staff - GSA	the GOCAP cover image is distorted so not consistent with usage or our brand guidelines. It could fit without being distorted, simply at a smaller height but same width.	GOCAP - the cover image is distorted so not consistent with usage or our brand guidelines. It could fit without being distorted, simply at a smaller height but same width.	2-8	Revise as noted
11/15/2023	County staff - PWA Building	<p>Measure BE-1 : In general, the function of the building department is to ensure code compliance and construction meets the minimum life safety standards per Title 24 requirements. Please remove this item from the list.</p> <p>Measure IN-2.1: Actin IN – 2.1.1 – The California Buildings Standard Commission (CBSC) may have included this requirement in the 2025 code cycle. Suggest remove this item from the list.</p> <p>Measure BE-3.2 Action BE:3.2.2 – It appears this is used by developers or owner builders, not Building Department. Please remove Building Department from the item (Note from Ali, consider changing to: Encourage developers to utilize state and federal funding programs, such as Community Development Block Grant programs, to achieve energy efficiency improvements in existing and new buildings, with a particular focus on affordable housing." - Alan suggest putting a different agency such as CDA Planning as the lead, since the funding applications need to happen at the planning stage of development projects)</p> <p>Measure IN-1.4 Action 1.4.3 – Backup generators are already required in the code for essential facilities. Suggest remove this item from the list"</p>	<p>Edited Action BE-1.2.1 to read: Adopt a reach code for the 2025 California Building Energy Efficiency Standards code cycle that reduces reliance on natural gas infrastructure in new development (residential and nonresidential).</p> <p>Edited Action BE-3.2.2 to read: "Encourage developers to utilize state and federal funding programs, such as Community Development Block Grant programs, to achieve energy efficiency improvements in existing and new buildings, with a particular focus on affordable housing." -removed PWA Building Dept as lead</p>	5-12 5-14	Revised as noted
12/14/2023	County staff - HCSA	Consider working with advocacy organizations such as The Utility Reform Network (TURN) to ensure that low income utility users barriers to the reduction of energy use are considered.	Add Action IN-1.1.7: : "Partner with advocacy organizations such as The Utility Reform Network (TURN) to ensure consideration of energy use-reduction barriers faced by low-income utility users."	5-19	Revised as noted
12/14/2023	County staff - HCSA	Action WR-2.1.1: Implement and enforce the requirements of SB 1383 and eliminate disposal of compostable organic materials to landfills. --- Ensure that education and notification meets the unique needs of small businesses, including in appropriate language and at appropriate literacy levels.	Edit Action WR-2.1.1 to include outreach/education in appropriate languages: "Implement and enforce the requirements of SB 1383 to divert compostable organic materials from landfills, ensuring that outreach and education materials are provided in appropriate languages and at appropriate literacy levels to meet the unique needs of residents and small businesses."	5-27	Revised as noted
1/18/2024	County staff - GSA	Action HR-3.3.7 -please remove "improved ventilation" from the measure because these particular locations are newer buildings with up-to-date systems that meet the improved ventilation needs	Edit Action HR-3.3.7: Increase resilience of existing cooling centers by increasing guidance, providing low-tech information sources, and seeking funding for additional resources, such as backup power capabilities.	5-39	Revise as noted
12/11/2023	County staff - OES	CE-1.2.2: Smoke Notifications: This is a complicated item since county government does not monitor or rate the smoke conditions. Currently BAAQAIR monitors and has a notification system to contact registered users. We may want to focus on public health recommendations rather than measuring and conducting initial notification. Also, smoke is not a qualifying event under FEMA guidelines. We need to define our role separate from that of the cities within our plans though.	Edit Action CE-1.2.2 to read: "Review and revise (as needed) the County's wildfire smoke and air quality communications protocols to ensure that related messaging is coordinated with other jurisdictions and can be disseminated to all populations, including those that may be difficult to reach."	5-42	Revised as noted